

August 26, 2024

Waller County Judge's Office 425 FM 1488, Suite 106 Hempstead, TX 77445

RE: Project Whitney: Houston BESS Portfolio (USDA), 32165 FM 1488, Waller, Texas 77484 USDA-RD Funded Project - Environmental Concurrence/Approval Request

Judge Carbett Duhon III,

Partner, on behalf of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development Rural Business Service (Agency), is initiating the Executive Order 12372, "Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs," process in order to obtain feedback and concurrence from interested parties on financing a proposal. The Agency is being asked to consider providing financial assistance for the proposal described below. Because this state does not have a State Point of Contact, this letter shall serve as your invitation to comment on this proposal.

Partner Engineering & Science, Inc. (Partner) has performed a USDA 1970-B Categorical Exclusion Environmental Report (CatEx Report) in accordance with the scope of work and limitations set forth by United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Rural Development (RD) for the property located at 32165 FM 1488, Waller, Texas 77484. The findings of this report are summarized in Attachment 2: Environmental Information.

Please complete the attached form and send any comments on this proposal or your letter of concurrence to Partner or directly to the Agency at 101 South Main Street, Temple, Texas 76501. If you choose not to respond within 60 days of this correspondence, the Agency will assume that you have chosen not to respond and may proceed with its decision. If you have any questions concerning this proposal, please contact Anna Strimas astrimas@partneresi.com AND Howard Willoughby at at howard.willoughby@usda.gov. We request your concurrence/approval of this project, as it relates to your Agency and extent of environmental impacts and alternatives that should be considered in USDA-RD's environmental review.

Sincerely,

Dana Cissel Environmental Professional



Intergovernmental Review Letter Project No. 24-456668.7 August 23, 2024 Page 1



Attachments

Attachment 1 - PROPOSAL'S DESCRIPTION AND MAP Attachment 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION Attachment 3 - USGS TOPOGRAPHICAL MAP Attachment 4 – PROPOSED SITE PLAN Attachment 5 – PRIME FARMLAND MAP Attachment 6 – URBAN AREAS MAP Attachment 7 – FORM AD-1006 Attachment 8 – REVIEW CONSULTATION FORM



ATTACHMENT 1: PROJECT INFORMATION

Goodpeak, LLC proposes to construct a 12,000-kilowatt (kW) / 22,016 kilowatt per hour (kWh) Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) on approximately a 35.29-acre parcel of undeveloped land. According to the Waller County Assessor, the subject property is identified as APN 9492 543-001-0024. Based on client provided project plans, the project footprint consists of approximately 5.7 acres on the northeast and east portion of the Project site. The subject property is currently owned by Cheney Tracy Melton and Moira C. The Project site is located in the unincorporated area of Waller County. Waller County does not have established restrictions on land use development.

The proposed development includes the development of a BESS system. The development is considered a "Parallel Operation of Distributed Generation Resource", meaning the BESS will be connected to the South Texas Electric Cooperative (STEC) utility grid via the nearby existing Seaway Electrical Substation (SES), located approximately 950 feet south of the site. The BESS will both supply power to the grid and draw power from it when necessary. The system is designed to ensure that power supply is seamless, with no interruption to the end users. Furthermore, this system will be critical during grid outages where the BESS can provide backup power, or during peak demand periods when the system can help reduce the load on the grid. The parallel operation allows for sophisticated energy management strategies, such as peak shaving, load shifting, and demand response, optimizing the overall efficiency and reliability of the energy supply. The facility will be designed to optimize energy use, ensure a reliable power supply and enhance grid stability.

The proposed development consists of the installation of eight (8) BESSs, located on the central portion of the Project site. The BESS will be charged from the STEC distribution system. Electricity will flow to and from the BESS via the proposed inverters. The BESS system will also include the installation of four (4) inverters and four (4) pad-mounted transformers. These features will assist in delivering power to and from the BESS to the off-site substation.

Furthermore, an overhead distribution line is proposed and will be approximately 75 to 100 feet in height. From the transmission line, the power would be delivered to and from the grid. Riser poles for the overhead transmission lines are proposed near the BESSs (on the northeast portion of the Project site) and on the southeast corner of the Project site. In addition, four approximately 2,000-square foot areas of the Project site will be left undisturbed to accommodate future augmentation areas. Two of these areas are planned to be located on the north and south sides of the proposed BESS's, respectively. Perimeter fencing will also be installed.







ATTACHMENT 2: ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION

Partner has reviewed information on the property location and description, land use, as well as addressing the following items: Historic Preservation; Threatened and Endangered Species/Biological Resources; Wetlands; Floodplains; Coastal Areas; Important Farmland; Environmental Risk Management; and Other Resources.

According to the FPPA requirements, "Federal activities that would convert farmland to nonagricultural purposes is discouraged. Prime and important farmland includes all land that is defined as prime, unique or farmlands of statewide or local importance." According to records reviewed, the subject property is not considered an urban area according to the US Census Bureau; however, the southeast and northwest adjoining properties are depicted as urban areas on the US Census Bureau Urban Areas Map. It is the responsibility of the Federal agency (USDA) that is providing assistance for the project to decide if farmland will be converted to nonagricultural uses and to submit the Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Form (Form AD-1006) if needed.

The Project site is being converted from farmland. According to the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey website, the Project site is considered prime farmland and farmland of statewide importance.

Based on the identification of Prime Farmland on the Project site, Partner is contacting the Texas NRCS office and submitted the Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Form (Form AD-1006) for completion.

Partner's assessment did not indicate any other potential effects associated with the proposed undertaking.





FIGURE 3: TOPOGRAPHIC MAP

Legend

Subject Property Boundary



	DRAWN BY: aszatanik	SCALE: 1 inch = 833 feet
PROJECT NUMBER: 24-456668.7 FILE NAME: Project Whitney: Housto (USDA)	DATE: 8/13/2024	



ARCH D





PRIME FARMLAND MAP

Legend



- Subject Property Boundary
 - Prime Farmland
 - Farmland of Local Importance

Farmland of Statewide Importance

- Farmland of Unique Importance
- Not Prime Farmland

PARTNER Engineering and Science, Inc.

	DRAWN BY: aszatanik	SCALE: 1 inch = 1,073 feet
PROJECT NUMBER: 24-456668.7 FILE NAME: Project Whitney: Housto (USDA)	DATE: 8/13/2024	



URBAN AREAS MAP

Legend



USA Urban Areas

Subject Property Boundary



	DRAWN BY: aszatanik	SCALE: 1 inch = 2,000 feet
PROJECT NUMBER: 24-456668.7 FILE NAME: Project Whitney: Housto (USDA)	DATE: 8/14/2024	

FAR	U.S. Departmen	5		TING					
PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency) Date			ate Of Land Evaluation Request August 22, 2024						
Name of Project 32165 FM 1488			Federal Agency Involved USDA Rural Development						
			County and State Waller County, Texas						
PART II (To be completed by NRCS) Date Request Rece NRCS			uest Received	By Person Completing Form:					
Does the site contain Prime, Unique, Statewide of (If no, the FPPA does not apply - do not complet	·		ES NO	Acres I	rigated	Average	Farm Size		
Major Crop(s)	Farmable Land In Govt. Ju Acres: %	urisdiction		Amount of F Acres:	armland As %	Defined in FP	PPA		
Name of Land Evaluation System Used Name of State or Local Site Assessment System Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS					RCS				
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)				Cite A		Site Rating	Cite D		
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly				Site A 26.29	Site B	Site C	Site D		
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly				0					
C. Total Acres In Site				35.29					
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Eva	aluation Information			00.20					
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland									
B. Total Acres Statewide Important or Local Impo	ortant Farmland								
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local C	Govt. Unit To Be Converted								
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction	With Same Or Higher Relativ	ve Value							
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Eva Relative Value of Farmland To Be Conver)							
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria Maximum (Criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5 b. For Corridor project use form NRCS-CPA-106) Points				Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D		
1. Area In Non-urban Use			(15)	15					
2. Perimeter In Non-urban Use			(10)	10					
3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed		(20)	0						
4. Protection Provided By State and Local Government			(20)	0					
5. Distance From Urban Built-up Area			(15)	15					
6. Distance To Urban Support Services			(15)	0					
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average			(10)	0					
8. Creation Of Non-farmable Farmland			(10)	10					
9. Availability Of Farm Support Services			(5)	2					
10. On-Farm Investments			(20)	0					
The Elects of Conversion on Fain Support Services			(10)	0					
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use (10)				0					
			160	52	0	0	0		
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)									
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)			100	0	0	0	0		
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or local site assessment)			160	52	0	0	0		
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)			260	52	0	0 sment Used?	0		
Site Selected: 32165 FM 1488 Dat	e Of Selection N/A				s 🖌				
Reason For Selection:				•					
This property was selected due to proposed construction of battery			•			•	the		

generated by the northwest adjoining solar array.

Name of Federal agency representative completing this form: $\ensuremath{\text{Dana Cissel}}$

(See Instructions on reverse side)

STEPS IN THE PROCESSING THE FARMLAND AND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FORM

- Step 1 Federal agencies (or Federally funded projects) involved in proposed projects that may convert farmland, as defined in the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) to nonagricultural uses, will initially complete Parts I and III of the form. For Corridor type projects, the Federal agency shall use form NRCS-CPA-106 in place of form AD-1006. The Land Evaluation and Site Assessment (LESA) process may also be accessed by visiting the FPPA website, http://fppa.nrcs.usda.gov/lesa/.
- Step 2 Originator (Federal Agency) will send one original copy of the form together with appropriate scaled maps indicating location(s) of project site(s), to the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) local Field Office or USDA Service Center and retain a copy for their files. (NRCS has offices in most counties in the U.S. The USDA Office Information Locator may be found at http://offices.usda.gov/scripts/ndISAPI.dll/oip_public/USA_map, or the offices can usually be found in the Phone Book under U.S. Government, Department of Agriculture. A list of field offices is available from the NRCS State Conservationist and State Office in each State.)
- Step 3 NRCS will, within 10 working days after receipt of the completed form, make a determination as to whether the site(s) of the proposed project contains prime, unique, statewide or local important farmland. (When a site visit or land evaluation system design is needed, NRCS will respond within 30 working days.
- Step 4 For sites where farmland covered by the FPPA will be converted by the proposed project, NRCS will complete Parts II, IV and V of the form.
- Step 5 NRCS will return the original copy of the form to the Federal agency involved in the project, and retain a file copy for NRCS records.
- Step 6 The Federal agency involved in the proposed project will complete Parts VI and VII of the form and return the form with the final selected site to the servicing NRCS office.
- Step 7 The Federal agency providing financial or technical assistance to the proposed project will make a determination as to whether the proposed conversion is consistent with the FPPA.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FORM (For Federal Agency)

Part I: When completing the "County and State" questions, list all the local governments that are responsible for local land use controls where site(s) are to be evaluated.

Part III: When completing item B (Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly), include the following:

- 1. Acres not being directly converted but that would no longer be capable of being farmed after the conversion, because the conversion would restrict access to them or other major change in the ability to use the land for agriculture.
- 2. Acres planned to receive services from an infrastructure project as indicated in the project justification (e.g. highways, utilities planned build out capacity) that will cause a direct conversion.
- Part VI: Do not complete Part VI using the standard format if a State or Local site assessment is used. With local and NRCS assistance, use the local Land Evaluation and Site Assessment (LESA).
- 1. Assign the maximum points for each site assessment criterion as shown in § 658.5(b) of CFR. In cases of corridor-type project such as transportation, power line and flood control, criteria #5 and #6 will not apply and will, be weighted zero, however, criterion #8 will be weighed a maximum of 25 points and criterion #11 a maximum of 25 points.
- 2. Federal agencies may assign relative weights among the 12 site assessment criteria other than those shown on the FPPA rule after submitting individual agency FPPA policy for review and comment to NRCS. In all cases where other weights are assigned, relative adjustments must be made to maintain the maximum total points at 160. For project sites where the total points equal or exceed 160, consider alternative actions, as appropriate, that could reduce adverse impacts (e.g. Alternative Sites, Modifications or Mitigation).

Part VII: In computing the "Total Site Assessment Points" where a State or local site assessment is used and the total maximum number of points is other than 160, convert the site assessment points to a base of 160. Example: if the Site Assessment maximum is 200 points, and the alternative Site "A" is rated 180 points:

 $\frac{\text{Total points assigned Site A}}{\text{Maximum points possible}} = \frac{180}{200} \times 160 = 144 \text{ points for Site A}$

For assistance in completing this form or FPPA process, contact the local NRCS Field Office or USDA Service Center.

NRCS employees, consult the FPPA Manual and/or policy for additional instructions to complete the AD-1006 form.

RD Instruction 1970-I Exhibit A Page 2 4) Site plan [A site plan showing buildings, roads, resource locations and other key features of the proposed site] Attachment 2: USDA Rural Development Intergovernmental Review Consultation Form Project Name: _____ 1) Is the proposal consistent with State or local government planning goals? 🗆 Yes 🗌 No Does the proposal duplicate, run counter to, or need to be coordinated 2) with other activities, or might it be revised to increase its effectiveness? 🗆 Yes 🗆 No Will the proposal contribute to achieving state or local government 3) goals relating to natural and human resources or economic and community development? 🗆 Yes 🗌 No Are there environmental impacts and alternatives that should be 4) considered in the Agency's environmental review? 🗆 Yes 🗌 No Will the proposal influence area growth or delivery of services, 5) including any disproportionate effects on minority groups? 🗆 Yes 🗆 No

RD Instruction 1970-I Exhibit A Page 3

6)	Will	the pro	posal	impact energy resource supply and demand?
		🗆 Yes		🗆 No
7)	Will	the pro	posal	displace people or businesses?
		🗌 Yes		🗆 No
8) Resou:				be located in a Coastal Zone or Coastal Barrier consist with any State coastal management plan?
		🗌 Yes		🗆 No
Commei	nts:			

Signature/Title

Date

(04-01-16) SPECIAL PN